

Project Reviewer Information

Faculty and Guest Committee Members: Thank you very much for agreeing to serve as a project reviewer. You have been asked by the senior design group designated below to become a member of their review committee. In this year, we require you to watch 25 minutes presentation video and 12 minutes final demonstration video before you attend 25 minutes zoom meeting on the schedule below. When you watch these video, please write down your questions as well as related video segment. During the zoom meeting, we will have question and answer and some of teams will be able to do live demo their project. After that, we would like you to complete a short evaluation form. The committee review evaluation is an extremely important process in their final course evaluation, and all input is appreciated. Thank you for taking the time to participate in the evaluation process.

Students: Please type in. Submit only 1 form per group 5PM the day before your scheduled zoom meeting day. We will comprise of information pact to send to each committee member and cc to all group members.

Group Number: 27

Project Title: IntelliDate

Group Members:

Emails:

Name: Kyle Dennis

Email: kwd15@knights.ucf.edu

Name: Dat Tran

Email: dtran27@knights.ucf.edu

Name: Kory Marks

Email: marks kory@knights.ucf.edu

Name: Tyler Claitt

Email: tylerclaitt@knights.ucf.edu

Final Presentation - Date: 4/21/2021

Time: 12:00PM

Reviewer Name (Print) 1. Qifeng Li Email: Qifeng.Li@ucf.edu

Reviewer Name (Print) 2. Zhishan Guo Email: zsguo@ucf.edu

Reviewer Name (Print) 3. Linwood Jones Email: wlinwoodjones@gmail.com

Project presentation video, demo video and 8 page conference paper link information

Students: Please upload videos to YouTube and then **COPY/PASTE** links into this form. Also please upload your final version 8 page conference paper to a cloud platform and **COPY/PASTE** the link which others can download. Please complete these before submit this form. Please also fill in project title and group number in the next page. Thank you.

Group Number: 27

Project Title: IntelliDate

Presentation video link: <https://youtu.be/TP6j7Fpduxg>

Demo video link: <https://youtu.be/9Cwkmi379ZA>

Conference paper download link: <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1rb3lDpK1PRuNEYUQ-fwc1eshVPmxNpeK/view?usp=sharing>

Faculty and Guest Committee Members: Please watch 25 minutes presentation video and 12 minutes final demonstration video before you attend 25 minutes zoom meeting. When you watch these video, please write down your questions as well as related video segment (in minutes, seconds). During the zoom meeting, we will have question and answer and some of teams will be able to do live demo their project. Thank you for taking the time to participate in the evaluation process.

to be filled by reviewer

<i>Comments</i>	<i>Video Segment (min,sec)</i>
Presentation video	
1)	
2)	
3)	
Demo video	
1)	
2)	
3)	
8 page conference paper	page number/column
1)	
2)	



Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science

Senior Design Project Evaluation By Project Committee Reviewers

Thank you again for serving as a project evaluator for the EECS Senior Design course. This form will be used to evaluate the performance of the senior design student groups. The questions are broadly scoped so as to be appropriate for all projects. Please provide your own assessment project design and functionality based upon the demonstrated students skills.		
Instructions		
1. Please confirm that the data section is accurate, if not please make any necessary corrections.	3. Please return the survey to: Dr. Lei Wei or Dr Sam Richie UCF, EECS - 4000 Central Florida Blvd. Preferred: email this to Orlando, FL 32816-2450 to lei.wei@ucf.edu or richie@ucf.edu	
2. Please complete the questions section of the survey by checking the appropriate box.		
Data		
Name of Evaluator: _____ Affiliation: _____		
Project Title: _____		
Project Group Number: _____ Term: <u>Spring 2021</u>		
Questions - Please rate the following:	Score Range	Group Score
1. Problem understanding by the group	0-15	
2. Problem solving approach	0-10	
3. Design implementation efficiency	0-15	
4. Functional performance of the prototype	0-15	
5. Prototype appearance and completeness	0-10	
6. Apparent distribution of work within the group	0-10	
7. Organization and quality of the presentation	0-15	
8. Overall impression of the project and group performance	0-10	
	Total	
Remarks (if any): 		

- Thank You -